



Barbara Strozzi 336

1083 HN Amsterdam
The Netherlands

gssbsecretariat@globalreporting.org

1 **Item 02 – Stakeholder Council** 2 **response to the GSSB’s Draft project** 3 **proposal for Sector Standards** 4 **Project for Mining**

For GSSB information

Date	28 May 2021
Meeting	10 June 2021
Project	GRI Sector Standards Project for Mining
Description	<p>This document provides the Stakeholder Council response to the Draft Project Proposal for Sector Standards Project for Mining.</p> <p>Only one member of the Stakeholder Council responded. The comments are reproduced in full.</p> <p>Input was sought from additional stakeholders outside of GRI’s governance bodies. This feedback is summarized on the front cover of Item 01 but it is not be provided in full.</p>

This document has been prepared by the GRI Standards Division and is made available to observers at meetings of the Global Sustainability Standards Board (GSSB). It does not represent an official position of the GSSB. Board positions are set out in the GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards. The GSSB is the independent standard setting body of GRI. For more information visit www.globalreporting.org.

5 **Contents**

Response from Bahar Gidwani, Stakeholder Council member. 3

This document does not represent an official position of the GSSB

6 **Response from Bahar Gidwani,** 7 **Stakeholder Council member**

8

9 Recycling is a crucial part of the mining industry's structure. It is also a crucial aspect of its social and
10 environmental impact. Therefore, I believe you should include recycling in this project. This will allow
11 a holistic view of this industry.

12 You should also include aluminum and steel. They are huge industries that share many practices and
13 policies with other mining area firms. They have ties to regulatory bodies, governments, and users of
14 mineral-based products that you should seek to lever as part of an overall approach to understanding
15 this area.

16 You have a short (three month) comment period. Then a long (six month) revision period. This
17 industry has a long history of resisting outside attempts to improve its social performance. I believe
18 you should allow at least two comment periods (with a revision in between). You may need to pre-
19 solicit input (especially since there are many countries and languages involved in this industry group)
20 and start sharing parts of your draft ahead of the formal start of feedback. The more iterations and
21 feedback you allow, the more likely that this industry will see your product as useful and responsive to
22 their needs.

23 Bahar Gidwani, CFA, FSA

24 Co-founder & CTO, CSRHub