



**GSSB** Global  
Sustainability  
Standards Board

Barbara Strozzilaan 336  
1083 HN Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
[info@gssb.globalreporting.org](mailto:info@gssb.globalreporting.org)

## Transition to GRI Standards

### *Item 03 – Draft SRS 403: Indirect Economic Impacts*

### **For GSSB Review and Agreement (with suggested amendments)**

<b>Date</b>	11 February 2016
<b>Meeting</b>	25 February 2016
<b>Project</b>	Transition to GRI Standards
<b>Description</b>	As part of the move to become a standard setter, the Global Sustainability Standards Board (GSSB) has decided that the G4 Guidelines need to be transitioned to Sustainability Reporting Standards (SRSs). This paper presents a draft of the SRS 403: Indirect Economic Impacts, for GSSB review and agreement (with suggested amendments).

This document has been prepared by the GRI Standards Division. It is provided as a convenience to observers at meetings of the Global Sustainability Standards Board (GSSB), to assist them in following the Board's discussion. It does not represent an official position of the GSSB. Board positions are set out in the GRI Sustainability Reporting Standards. The GSSB is the independent standard-setting body of GRI. For more information visit [www.globalreporting.org](http://www.globalreporting.org).

# About this version

This paper sets out a draft of the Sustainability Reporting Standard 403: Indirect Economic Impacts, formerly the G4 Indirect Economic Impacts Aspect.

## Summary of changes

1. **Structure:** this SRS is structured as follows, based on the previously discussed template:
  - An **introduction** – which contains all background and ‘boilerplate information’. Please note that to assist with version control, this content is being developed only in the SRS: 505 Emissions, and will be re-introduced into the remaining Topic-Specific SRSs once the GSSB has signed off the content during the 5-7 April 2016 meeting.
  - The **standard itself** (i.e., requirements, recommendations and guidance):
    - Reporting on management approach: this section references the SRS 301: Management Approach and includes topic-specific management approach guidance, where applicable.
    - Topic disclosures: for each disclosure, the following is provided:
      - Disclosure requirements (‘indicators’): phrased with ‘shall’ statements;
      - Methodology: including a mix of ‘shall’ and ‘should’ statements; and
      - Guidance: including ‘can’ statements, examples, and context.
2. **Use of instructive verbs:** Following GSSB input during the 4 February 2016 meeting, the Methodology sections include both ‘shall’ and ‘should’ statements. However, these are organized with all ‘shall’ statements grouped together at the beginning of the section, followed by any ‘should’ statements.
3. **Status of management approach guidance:** Following GSSB input during the 4 February 2016 meeting, the Standards Division will keep most of the Aspect-specific management approach guidance from G4 as ‘guidance’ in the SRSs. However, any specific cases where the Standard Division believes this content should be elevated to a recommendation (‘should’ statement) or requirement (‘shall’ statement) will be highlighted to the GSSB for review. In this draft SRS, the existing Aspect-specific DMA guidance has been preserved as ‘guidance’.

## Requested feedback

1. **Use of instructive verbs:** The GSSB is asked to *please review the Methodology section* in this SRS and indicate if it disagrees with any of the uses of instructive verbs (‘shall’ and ‘should’).
2. **Use of sub-headings:** The GSSB is asked to review the use of the headings ‘Disclosure requirements’ and ‘Methodology’ within this draft SRS, and indicate to the Standards Division whether it would prefer to be taken out.

34 **3. Any other changes requested:** The GSSB is asked to please identify any other changes or  
35 improvements that the Standards Division should incorporate into this draft before it is ready  
36 for public consultation.

Discussion document - This document does not represent an official position of the GSSB

37 Sustainability Reporting Standard 403:  
38 Indirect Economic Impacts 2016

Discussion document - This document does not represent an official position of the GSSB

# 39 Contents

40	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>6</b>
41	A. About the Sustainability Reporting Standards (SRSs) .....	6
42	B. Responsibility for this Standard .....	6
43	C. Scope .....	6
44	D. Normative References .....	6
45	E. Effective Date .....	6
46	F. Background Context .....	6
47	<b>SRS 403: Indirect Economic Impacts</b> .....	<b>8</b>
48	1. Reporting on Management Approach .....	8
49	2. Topic Disclosures: Indirect Economic Impacts .....	9

Discussion document - This document does not represent an official position of the GSSB

## 50 Introduction

### 51 *A. About the Sustainability Reporting Standards (SRSs)*

52 [to be provided]

### 53 *B. Responsibility for this Standard*

54 [to be provided]

### 55 *C. Scope*

56 [...]

57 The economic dimension of sustainability concerns a reporting organization's impacts on the  
58 economic conditions of its stakeholders and on economic systems at the local, national, and global  
59 level. It does not focus on the financial condition of an organization.

60 The SRSs in the Economic series (400) illustrate the flow of capital among different stakeholders,  
61 and the main economic impacts of an organization throughout society.

62 This SRS sets out disclosure requirements on the topic of indirect economic impacts, including  
63 infrastructure investments and services supported.

64 [...]

### 65 *D. Normative References*

66 [to be provided]

### 67 *E. Effective Date*

68 [to be provided]

### 69 *F. Background Context*

70 Indirect economic impacts are the additional consequence of the direct financial transactions that  
71 occur between an organization and its stakeholders. They also result when an organization invests  
72 in infrastructure or services, thus producing additional public benefits.

73 These indirect economic impacts can be non-monetary, and can have diverse effects on the  
74 economy. They include impacts such as shifts in local employment due to operation relocation, or  
75 increased availability of products and services for those on low incomes.

76 Indirect economic impacts are particularly important to assess in relation to local communities  
77 and regional economies. They are an important part of an organization's role as an agent of socio-  
78 economic change, particularly in developing economies.

79 For management purposes, indirect economic impacts are an important indication of where risks  
80 to reputation can develop. They also indicate where opportunities can emerge to expand market  
81 access or a social license to operate.

Discussion document - This document does not represent an official position of the GSSB

# 82 SRS 403: Indirect Economic Impacts

## 83 *1. Reporting on Management Approach*

84 I.1 The reporting organization shall report on its management approach for this topic using  
85 SRS 301: Management Approach sections [XX].

### 86 **Guidance**

87 Organizations using the topic-specific SRSs (400, 500, and 600 series) to report their impacts for a specific  
88 topic are expected to also disclose information on their management approach for that topic. Reporting on  
89 the management approach as well as completing topic-specific Indicators for all material topics is required  
90 for any organization that wishes to make a claim of being 'In Accordance' with the SRSs. The management  
91 approach is a narrative explanation of how the organization manages the topic, associated impacts, and  
92 stakeholders' reasonable expectations and interests.

93 This SRS is therefore designed to be used together with SRS 301: Management Approach in order to provide  
94 a full disclosure of the organization's impacts for a given topic. SRS 301 specifies how to report on the  
95 organization's management approach and what information to include.

96 *Specific guidance for reporting on the management approach related to indirect economic impacts:*

97 When reporting its management approach for indirect economic impacts, the organization can also:

- 98 • describe work undertaken to understand indirect economic impacts at the national, regional, or local  
99 level; and
- 100 • explain whether it conducted a community needs assessment to determine the need for infrastructure  
101 and other services. This explanation can include the results of the assessment.

## 102 2. Topic Disclosures: Indirect Economic Impacts

### 103 Infrastructure Investments and Services Supported

#### 104 Disclosure requirements

105 2.1 The reporting organization shall report disclosure 403-I as follows:

#### Disclosure 403-I

- a. Extent of development of significant infrastructure investments and services supported.
- b. Current or expected impacts on communities and local economies, including positive and negative impacts.
- c. Whether these investments and services are commercial, in-kind, or pro bono engagements.

#### 106 Guidance

107 This disclosure looks at the impact that the reporting organization's infrastructure investments and services  
108 supported have on its stakeholders and the economy.

109 In addition to investments in its own operations, an organization can make investments in the community  
110 surrounding its operations. Such investments – like transport links, utilities, community social facilities, health  
111 and welfare centers, and sports centers – make significant capital contributions to the economy.

112 These investments can improve the quality of life in a community over long timescales and the organization  
113 can improve its efficiency and strengthen its community relationships.

#### 114 Methodology

115 2.2 In compiling the information in 2.1, the reporting organization **should**:

116 2.2.1 disclose the size, cost and duration of each significant infrastructure investment  
117 or service supported; and

118 2.2.2 disclose the extent to which different communities or local economies are  
119 impacted by the organization's infrastructure investments and services supported.

## 120 Significant Indirect Economic Impacts

### 121 Disclosure requirements

122 2.3 The reporting organization shall report disclosure 403-2 as follows:

#### Disclosure 403-2

- a. Examples of significant identified indirect economic impacts of the reporting organization, including positive and negative impacts.
- b. Significance of the indirect economic impacts in the context of external benchmarks and stakeholder priorities, such as national and international standards, protocols, and policy agendas.

### 123 Guidance

124 This disclosure looks at the spectrum of indirect economic impacts that the reporting organization can have  
125 on its stakeholders and the economy.

126 These indirect economic impacts vary widely by organization type, size and location, and can significantly  
127 influence the sustainability of an economy or community.

128 Evaluating this influence can help an organization to avoid negative indirect economic impacts in its  
129 operations. It can also provide an organization's stakeholders transparency and a means to start up a dialogue  
130 about these issues.

131 Examples of significant indirect economic impacts, both positive and negative, can include:

- 132 • Changes in the productivity of organizations, sectors, or the whole economy (such as through greater  
133 adoption of information technology);
- 134 • Economic development in areas of high poverty (such as changes in the total number of dependents  
135 supported through the income of a single job);
- 136 • Economic impacts from improving or deteriorating social or environmental conditions (such as the  
137 increasing costs of pollution, or changing the job market in an area converted from small farms to large  
138 plantations);
- 139 • Availability of products and services for those on low incomes (such as setting preferential pricing of  
140 pharmaceuticals to support a healthier, economy-participating population; or, conversely, setting pricing  
141 structures that exceed the economic capacity of low income users);
- 142 • Enhanced skills and knowledge in a professional community or in a geographic location (such as when  
143 shifts in an organization's needs attract additional skilled workers to an area, who, in turn, drive a local  
144 need for new learning institutions);
- 145 • Number of jobs supported in the supply or distribution chain (such as the employment impacts on  
146 suppliers as a result of an organization's growth or contraction);
- 147 • Stimulating, enabling, or limiting foreign direct investment (such as when an organization changes the  
148 infrastructure or services it provides in a developing country, which then leads to changes in foreign  
149 direct investment in the region);
- 150 • Economic impacts from a change in operation or activity location (such as the impact of outsourcing  
151 jobs to an overseas location); and
- 152 • Economic impacts from the use of products and services (such as economic growth resulting from the  
153 use of a particular product or service).